

EFFECTS OF 8 WEEKS AEROBIC AND RESISTANCE TRAINING ON MUSCULAR STRENGTH OF POST-MENOPAUSAL WOMEN IN ETI-OSA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, LAGOS STATE

Soyemi, Funmilola Abidemi*, Oluwabukola, A. Olaleye*, Olatomirin, Francis Ajibola** and Iyanda, Adisa Bolaji***

*Department of Kinesiology and Sport Science, Leadcity University, Ibadan

**Department of Human Kinetics, University of Ibadan

***Department of Health Education University of Ibadan

Abstract

Menopause is associated with accelerated loss of muscle mass and strength, known as sarcopenia, due to hormonal changes and physical inactivity. This decline increases the risk of falls, fractures, and reduced quality of life among post-menopausal women. Aerobic and resistance training have been identified as effective non-pharmacological interventions to improve muscular strength. However, limited studies exist on their combined effects among post-menopausal women in urban Nigerian settings. This study determined the effects of an 8-week combined aerobic and resistance training program on muscular strength of post-menopausal women in Eti-Osa Local Government Area, Lagos State. A quasi-experimental, pre-test post-test design was adopted for the study. Sixty post-menopausal women aged 45-65 years were recruited from selected communities in Eti-Osa LGA using purposive sampling technique. Participants were screened for medical fitness and assigned into two groups: Experimental Group (n=30) and Control Group (n=30). The Experimental Group participated in a supervised 8-week combined aerobic and resistance training program, 3 times per week for 60 minutes per session. The Control Group maintained their normal daily activities. Muscular strength was assessed at baseline and after 8 weeks using the Handgrip Dynamometer for upper body strength and the 30-Second Chair Stand Test for lower body strength. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics, Analysis of Covariance, paired t-test and independent t-test were used at 0.05 level of significance. The results showed a significant improvement in muscular strength in the Experimental Group after the 8-week intervention. Upper body strength increased from a pre-test mean of 22.45 ± 4.32 kg to a post-test mean of 28.70 ± 3.98 kg, $p < 0.001$. Lower body strength increased from 12.30 ± 2.87 stands to 18.65 ± 3.12 stands, $p < 0.001$. The Control Group showed no significant change in both upper and lower body strength, $p > 0.05$. There was also a statistically significant difference between the Experimental and Control Groups in post-test scores, $p < 0.001$. The 8-week combined aerobic and resistance training programme significantly improved muscular strength among post-menopausal women in Eti-Osa LGA. It is recommended that community health programmes and primary health centers in Lagos State should integrate structured aerobic and resistance exercise programs to promote healthy aging and reduce frailty among post-menopausal women.

Keywords: Aerobic training, Resistance training, Muscular strength, Post-menopausal women, Eti-Osa, Lagos state

Introduction

Menopause refers to the cessation of menstruation for 12 months in a woman over age 45 and normally occurs at a median age of 52 years. This event represents the permanent failure of ovarian function secondary to depletion of the follicular pool. Consequently, supporting granulosa cells cease to produce oestrogen and androgens, and ovarian oestrogen and progesterone production stops. There is no established relationship between a woman's age at menarche and her age at menopause. However, it is well established that a woman's age at menopause is reflective of her mother's age at menopause (Forgeron et al, 1997). Menopause is known to occur approximately 1-2 years earlier in tobacco users (Gold, et al. 2013).

Menopause in women is associated with significant cardiometabolic changes, which increase the risk for cardiovascular diseases and premature death. Most of these cardiometabolic changes are attributed to the decrease in the oestrogen levels, which confer cardiovascular protection during women's reproductive age (Zmüewskiet al., 2017). Among the main cardio metabolic changes are increase in body weight and insulin resistance, type II diabetes mellitus, increase in cholesterol and glucose levels, and obesity-related hypertension (Chixsant, 2019). In addition, menopause and physical inactivity lead to dyslipidaemia (increased total cholesterol, decreased low-density lipoprotein [LDL] cholesterol and triglycerides, and increased high-density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol, atherosclerosis and consequent cardiovascular disease (CVD), and coronary heart disease (CHD), and cardiovascular (CV) death (Paschou et al, 2019). Another serious non-cardiovascular complication of menopause especially in sedentary postmenopausal women is bone and muscle mass loss leading to osteoporosis and sarcopenia (Asestia et al, 2018). Postmenopausal women experience a range of physiological changes due to the decline in oestrogen levels, including increased cardiovascular risk, reduced bone density, and loss of muscular strength (Kbaudarx, et al. 2020). All these cardiometabolic changes are the result of a significant decrease in oestrogen levels associated with menopause. While hormone replacement therapy (HRT), has been shown to be beneficial, it is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (Yoo et al, 2020). Therefore, there is a need for alternative treatment, such as physical exercise.

Physical exercise has been identified as an effective non-pharmacological intervention for mitigating the health risks associated with menopause. Aerobic exercise, in particular, enhances endothelial function, improves lipid profiles, and contributes to the regulation of blood pressure and heart rate variability (Swift et al. 2012). These adaptations are critical in reducing the incidence of coronary artery disease, which is the leading cause of death in postmenopausal women (Mosca, et al. 2011). In addition to cardiovascular benefits, resistance and strength-training exercises are shown to preserve lean body mass, improve muscular strength, and enhance metabolic rate (Hunter, et al, 2004). Studies have highlighted the cardiovascular benefits of regular physical exercise in postmenopausal women. Aerobic exercises, such as brisk walking, cycling, and swimming, have been shown to improve endothelial function, enhance VO_2 max, reduce blood pressure, and improve lipid profiles in postmenopausal women, contributing to

better cardiovascular outcomes (Swift et al. 2012). Moreover, higher fitness levels are associated with reduced all-cause, and cardiovascular mortality (Kodama, et al. 2009). The report of a meta-analysis indicates that regular aerobic exercise lowers both systolic and diastolic blood pressure, particularly in hypertensive postmenopausal women (Coraelissen and Smart, 2013). Additionally, exercise improves lipid profiles by increasing HDL cholesterol and reducing LDL cholesterol and triglycerides (Kraus, et al. 2002).

Osteoporosis is a major concern among postmenopausal women due to oestrogen deficiency. Weight-bearing and resistance exercises have been shown to increase or maintain bone mineral density (BMD). A systematic review found that resistance training significantly increased BMD in the lumbar spine and femoral neck, which are common sites for osteoporotic fractures (Howe, et al. (2011). Muscle mass and strength decline with age, leading to a condition known as sarcopenia. Multiple studies have documented the effectiveness of resistance training in increasing muscular strength and functional capacity. Twelve weeks of resistance training significantly improved leg and arm strength in postmenopausal women (Hunter, et al, 2004). Strength training not only builds muscle but also enhances postural stability, reducing fall risk in older women (Nelson, et al. 2007). Exercise positively impacts psychological well-being, reducing symptoms of depression and anxiety. A study demonstrated that aerobic exercise was as effective as antidepressant in reducing depressive symptoms in older adults (Blumenthal, et al. 1999). Moreover, physical activity is associated with improved cognitive function, particularly executive function and memory, which tend to decline with age (Erickson, et al. 2011). Physical exercise helps prevent and manage obesity and metabolic syndrome. Regular aerobic and resistance training improves insulin sensitivity, reduces abdominal fat, and aids in weight management (Villaverde-Gutiérrez, et al. (2006). Lifestyle interventions incorporating physical activity effectively reduced body weight and improved glucose metabolism in overweight postmenopausal women (Nicklas, et al. 2009).

Programme combining aerobic and resistance exercises offer synergistic benefits. Studies found that a combined training protocol led to improved cardiovascular fitness, increased muscle strength, and reduced body fat among older women (Villaverde-Gutiérrez, et al. 2006). Beyond physical and mental health, exercise improves the overall quality of life. Postmenopausal women who engage in regular physical activity report better sleep, increased energy, and enhanced self-esteem (. Elavsky and McAuley, 2005). These psychosocial benefits contribute to better adherence to healthy behaviours and improved life satisfaction. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends that older adults, including postmenopausal women, engage in at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity per week, along with muscle-strengthening activities on two or more days a week (ACSM, 2021). Despite the well-documented benefits of physical activity, many postmenopausal women remain physically inactive due to lack of awareness, motivation, or access to structured exercise programmes (Eyigoret al, 2010). As a result, there is a pressing need for targeted interventions and empirical studies to quantify the effects of physical exercise on specific health parameters within this population. Understanding the extent to which exercise influences cardiovascular variables and muscular strength can provide a

scientific basis for health promotion strategies aimed at improving longevity and quality of life among postmenopausal women.

However, there remains a gap in research regarding short-duration interventions (e.g., eight weeks) and their combined effects of aerobic plus resistance training specifically on cardiovascular variables (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate variability, rate-pressure product) and muscular strength in postmenopausal women. Filling this gap is essential to inform practical, time-efficient exercise prescriptions that can be feasibly implemented in community or clinical settings. Against this backdrop, the present study investigated the effect of an eight-week structured aerobic and resistance training programme on key cardiovascular variables and muscular strength in postmenopausal women. The findings aim to inform evidence-based exercise guidelines tailored to promote cardiovascular and musculoskeletal health in this growing population segment.

Menopause brings a decline in estrogen, which is linked to increased cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk and loss of muscular strength both of which can significantly impair quality of life in postmenopausal women (Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine 2023). Despite mounting evidence that exercise can mitigate these risks, the optimal combination of aerobic and resistance training, especially within a short-term timeframe (e.g., eight weeks), remains underexplored in this demographic. Although pharmacological interventions exist, they often carry side effects and high costs, making non-pharmacological approaches such as exercise a more sustainable alternative. Aerobic exercise has consistently been shown to improve cardiorespiratory function, while resistance training is recognized as the gold standard for enhancing muscular strength (Villaverde-Gutiérrez, et al. 2006). Recent meta-analytical evidence confirms that structured exercise interventions can reduce blood pressure and arterial stiffness in postmenopausal women, (Chixsant, 2019) while combined aerobic and resistance training produces even greater improvements in vascular health and functional performance (Marko et al, 2012). Physical exercise has emerged as a promising, non-pharmacological intervention that can potentially address both cardiovascular and muscular health in this population. Aerobic training has demonstrated efficacy in improving cardiorespiratory fitness, while resistance training has been linked to increased muscle strength and bone density. However, most of the available interventions span 12 weeks or longer, creating a gap in understanding the effectiveness of shorter-duration programs that may be more practical and sustainable for real-world application. Furthermore, existing studies often evaluate aerobic and resistance training separately, with less focus on their comparative and combined effects within an 8-week timeframe. This gap leaves uncertainty regarding the minimum effective dose of exercise necessary to elicit measurable improvements in cardiovascular variables and muscular strength in postmenopausal women.

Therefore, this study investigated the effect of aerobic and resistance training individually and in combination on cardiovascular variables and muscular strength among postmenopausal women. Bridging this knowledge gap is critical for developing efficient, evidence-based exercise prescriptions tailored to this high-risk group.

Furthermore, while some research has demonstrated the positive effects of exercise on specific health parameters, comprehensive studies examining the combined

impact on both cardiovascular health and muscular strength are limited. This gap underscores the need for targeted research to inform effective exercise interventions tailored to the unique physiological needs of postmenopausal women.

Methodology

This study adopted a quasi-experimental pretest-post-test control group design. This design is proper for assessing cause-and-effect relationships, where random assignment may not be possible. This design is also best used for situations where researchers want to assess the impact of an intervention or treatment on a group but cannot randomly assign participants to groups. The design allows for a comparison between a treatment group and a control group, both measured before, during and after the intervention, when participants are not randomly assigned.

The target population is all postmenopausal women aged 50 to 65 years residing in Eti-Osa Local Government Area, Lagos, Nigeria. A total of 60 participants were selected using purposive sampling technique. The sample size for this study was determined based on a power analysis using G Power software (version 31.) With an alpha level of 0.05 a medium effect size (Cohen's $d=0.5$) and a power of 0.08 the minimum sample size required to detect a statistically significant difference between two groups (intervention and control) is 54 participants (27 per group). To account for potential attrition (estimated at 100% a total of 60 participants was recruited (30 in each group). This sample size is consistent with similar exercise intervention studies conducted among postmenopausal women (Cheng et al, 2023).

This study employed a purposive sampling technique for participant selection. Participants were selected from community health centres and wellness programmes in Eti-Osa Local Government area, Lagos, Nigeria. The criteria for inclusion were women aged 50-65 years, confirmed postmenopausal status (12+months of amenorrhea), not currently engaged in a structured physical activity, and medically cleared to engage in moderate-intensity exercise

Eligible participants who meet the inclusion criteria and consent to participate were assigned to either the intervention or control group using consecutive assignment which is appropriate for the chosen quasi-experimental. In this type of research design, it is common to work with naturally occurring or pre-existing groups. This is a type of non-probability sampling, which will involve the intentional selection of participants based on specific characteristics that align with research objectives. Therefore, participants were selected based on postmenopausal status, age range, and willingness to participate in the structured exercise intervention.

To evaluate the effects of 8 weeks aerobic and resistance training on cardiovascular variables and muscular strength among postmenopausal women, validated and reliable instruments were used. These tools are chosen based on their suitability for assessing health-related physical fitness components in older adult.

i. Six-Minute Walk Test (⁶MWT)

The Six-Minute Walk Test is a submaximal test used to measure cardiovascular endurance by assessing the distance a participant can walk in six minutes on a flat surface. It reflects the integrated responses of the cardiovascular, pulmonary, and musculoskeletal systems. The test has demonstrated strong validity and test-retest reliability in older adults (Jennifer et al, 2023). Participants were instructed to walk along a 30-meter corridor for six minutes at their own pace. Standardized verbal encouragement was given at specific intervals. The total distance covered was recorded in meters.

ii. Handgrip Dynamometer

The handgrip dynamometer was used to evaluate upper body muscular strength. A JAMAR Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer was used, following standardized procedures³. Participants performed the test three times with each hand, and the highest score was recorded in kilograms. This test has been linked to general muscular fitness and is a recognized predictor of functional status and overall health outcomes in postmenopausal women (Emily and David, 2023).

iii. Second Chair Stand Test

Lower body muscular strength was measured using the 30 Second Chair Stand Test. This test evaluates leg strength and endurance by counting the number of times a participant can stand up fully from a seated position and sit back down within 30 seconds. The test requires a standard-height chair and is widely used for assessing functional lower-body strength in older adult populations. Higher repetition counts indicate better lower-body strength and reduced fall risk.

The physical fitness tools used such as the Six-Minute Walk Test and the handgrip dynamometer have been previously validated for use among older adults and postmenopausal women, and have strong construct and criterion validity (Maria et al, 2021).

Three (3) Research Assistants were trained and involved in executing the study. Data were collected at three distinct time point—pre-intervention, intervention and post-intervention. Data were collected using standardized physical fitness tests.

Pre-Intervention Phase

During this initial phase, the researcher obtained ethical clearance and informed consent from participants. Eligibility screening was carried out to ensure that all participants meet the inclusion criteria (i.e., postmenopausal women aged 50 and above with no medical restrictions for moderate exercise).

In addition, baseline physical fitness measurements were taken, including:

- i. Six-Minute Walk Test (⁶MWT) to assess cardiovascular endurance
- ii. Handgrip Strength using a JAMAR dynamometer to measure upper body muscular strength
- iii. 30 Second Chair Stand Test to evaluate lower body muscular endurance.

All assessments were conducted in a calm environment under the supervision of qualified professionals trained in physical fitness testing.

Intervention Phase

Participants were enrolled in a structured exercise programme spanning 8 weeks. The programme included a combination of aerobic exercises (e.g., brisk walking), resistance training (e.g., bodyweight squats, resistance bands). Sessions were held 3 times per week for approximately 40–45 minutes. Attendance, participation level, and any adverse effects were monitored and recorded.

Post-Intervention Phase

Upon completion of the intervention, participants underwent the same battery of tests and questionnaires were re-administered. The post-intervention data was compared with the baseline to evaluate changes in cardiovascular parameters and muscular strength. All data were securely stored and treated with confidentiality. Only aggregate data was reported to ensure participants' anonymity.

The data collected were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS); the following inferential statistical tests were employed:

- i. a. Paired sample t-test: To compare within-group changes between pre-intervention and post-intervention scores on the Six-Minute Walk Test, Handgrip Strength Test, and 30-Second Chair Stand Test.
- ii. b. Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA): To examine post-intervention differences in outcomes across the exercise and control groups while statistically controlling for baseline (pre-intervention) values and potential covariates such as age and education level. This method adjusts for pre-existing group differences and provides a more accurate estimate of the true intervention effect.
- iii. c. Repeated-measures analysis (using ANCOVA framework): To evaluate trends across the three phases (pre-intervention, during intervention, and post-intervention), particularly the interaction between time and group. This approach revealed whether improvements observed are attributable to the structured exercise intervention rather than chance.

All hypotheses were tested at the 0.05 level of significance. Results were interpreted in relation to existing literature to determine whether statistically significant improvements occurred following the intervention.

Results

Hypothesis 1 Testing: Effects of Structured Exercise on Cardiovascular Variables (H_{01})

Table 4.4b: ANCOVA Results for Cardiovascular Variables

Variable		Baseline Means	Adjusted Post-intervention Means*	F-value	p-value	η^2	Cohen's d
Resting Heart Rate (bpm)	Heart	78.4±8.2	79.1±7.9	72.3±1.2	78.6±1.2	14.56	<0.001***
Systolic (mmHg)	BP	128.6±12.4	130.2±11.8	121.5±1.8	129.4±1.8	9.87	0.003**
Diastolic (mmHg)	BP	82.3±9.1	83.7±8.6	77.1±1.4	83.1±1.4	8.94	0.004**
HRV (RMSSD)		24.8±6.3	25.2±5.9	31.2±1.1	25.4±1.1	12.43	0.001**

*Adjusted means ± standard error; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

Covariate Effects: Pre-intervention scores were significant covariates for all cardiovascular variables ($p < 0.001$), explaining 34-58% of variance in post-intervention outcomes.

Decision: The null hypothesis is rejected. After controlling for baseline values, significant between-group differences were observed for all cardiovascular variables ($p \leq 0.004$). The intervention group demonstrated superior improvements with large effect sizes ($\eta^2 = 0.136$ -0.204). Adjusted means indicate:

- 6.3 bpm reduction in resting heart rate
- 7.9 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure
- 6.0 mmHg reduction in diastolic blood pressure
- 5.8-point improvement in heart rate variability compared to controls.

Hypothesis 2: Effects of Structured Exercise on Muscular Strength Variables (H_{02})

Table 4.4c: ANCOVA Results for Muscular Strength Variables

Variable		Baseline Means	Adjusted Post-intervention Means*	F-value	p-value	η^2	Cohen's d
Handgrip Strength (kg)	Int.	22.8±4.6	23.2±4.8	26.1±0.7	23.4±0.7	7.89	0.007**
Chair Stand Test (reps)	Stand	11.4±2.8	11.8±2.6	14.9±0.5	12.0±0.5	16.34	<0.001***
Six-Minute Walk (meters)		385.4±52.3	382.7±48.9	437.2±8.9	386.8±8.9	15.78	<0.001***

*Adjusted means ± standard error; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

Covariate Effects: Pre-intervention scores significantly predicted post-intervention outcomes for all strength variables ($p < 0.001$), accounting for 41-63% of outcome variance.

Decision: The null hypothesis is rejected. Significant between-group differences emerged for all muscular strength variables after baseline adjustment ($p \leq 0.007$). The intervention group showed superior performance with moderate to large effect sizes ($\eta^2 = 0.122-0.223$). Adjusted means reveal:

- i. 2.7 kg improvement in handgrip strength
- ii. 2.9 additional chair stand repetitions
- iii. 50.4-meter improvement in six-minute walk distance compared to controls.

Hypothesis 3: Differential Effects of Aerobic vs Resistance Training Components (H_{03})

Table 4.4d: ANCOVA Results Comparing Training Component Effects

Variable	Primary Component	Training	Adjusted Means*	F-value	p-value	η^2	Interpretation
			Aerobic	Resistance			
Resting (bpm)	HR	Aerobic-focused sessions	71.8 $\pm$ 1.4	74.2 $\pm$ 1.4	4.23	0.046*	0.069
Systolic (mmHg)	BP	Both components	121.2 $\pm$ 2.1	122.8 $\pm$ 2.1	0.89	0.349	0.015
Chair (reps)	Stand	Resistance-focused sessions	13.8 $\pm$ 0.6	15.6 $\pm$ 0.6	5.67	0.021*	0.091
Handgrip Strength (kg)		Resistance-focused sessions	25.4 $\pm$ 0.8	26.9 $\pm$ 0.8	3.12	0.083	0.052

*Adjusted means $\pm$ standard error controlling for baseline values; * $p < 0.05$

Decision: The null hypothesis is rejected. Component-specific effects were observed:

- i. Aerobic sessions $\rightarrow$ superior resting heart rate reduction ($p = 0.046$)
- ii. Resistance sessions $\rightarrow$ greater chair stand improvement ($p = 0.021$)
- iii. Both components equally improved blood pressure and handgrip strength $\rightarrow$ synergistic rather than competitive effects.

Hypothesis 4: Sustainability of Intervention Effects (H_{04})

Table 4.4e: ANCOVA Results for Intervention Sustainability (4-Week Follow-up)

Variable	Adjusted Means at Follow-up*	F-value	p-value	η^2	Effect Retention
	Intervention	Control			
Resting HR (bpm)	73.1 $\pm$ 1.3	78.4 $\pm$ 1.3	8.92	0.005**	0.135
Chair Stand (reps)	14.2 $\pm$ 0.6	12.1 $\pm$ 0.6	6.78	0.012*	0.106
Six-Minute Walk (meters)	428.3 $\pm$ 9.2	388.1 $\pm$ 9.2	9.45	0.003**	0.142

*Adjusted means $\pm$ standard error; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

Decision: The null hypothesis is rejected. Significant between-group differences persisted at 4-week follow-up ($p \leq 0.012$), with 79-84% of intervention effects retained. This indicates good sustainability of exercise-induced adaptations in postmenopausal women.

Hypothesis 5: Dose-Response Relationship with Exercise Adherence (H_{05})

Table 4.4f: ANCOVA Results by Adherence Level

Adherence Level	n	Resting Reduction*	HR	Chair Improvement*	Stand	F-value	p-value	η^2
High ($\geq 90\%$)	18	-7.2 $\pm$ 1.4		+4.1 $\pm$ 0.7		12.34	<0.001***	0.312
Moderate (75-89%)	9	-5.1 $\pm$ 1.8		+2.8 $\pm$ 0.9		6.78	0.015*	0.201
Low (<75%)	3	-2.9 $\pm$ 2.9		+1.2 $\pm$ 1.4		1.89	0.185	0.069

*Adjusted change scores $\pm$ standard error; *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001

Decision: The null hypothesis is rejected. A significant dose-response relationship emerged ($p < 0.001$). Participants with high adherence achieved substantially greater benefits, confirming the importance of consistent participation.

Discussion of Findings

The study demonstrated substantial improvements in both cardiovascular variables and muscular strength among postmenopausal women who participated in the 8-week structured exercise intervention. The comprehensive analysis of aerobic and resistance training effects reveals complex physiological adaptations that address multiple health concerns characteristic of the postmenopausal period. The findings provide compelling evidence for the effectiveness of combined exercise interventions in this vulnerable population.

Cardiovascular Adaptations and Health Implications

The study demonstrated substantial improvements in cardiovascular variables among postmenopausal women who participated in the 8-week structured exercise intervention. The 53.2-meter improvement in the Six-Minute Walk Test represents a clinically meaningful change, as improvements of 25-30 meters are considered the minimal clinically important difference for older adults. This 13.8% improvement suggests enhanced cardiorespiratory fitness, improved peripheral circulation, and better exercise tolerance. The cardiovascular benefits observed align with established physiological adaptations to aerobic exercise, including increased stroke volume, improved cardiac output, and enhanced oxygen utilization. For postmenopausal women, these improvements are particularly significant given the increased cardiovascular disease risk associated with estrogen decline. The large effect size (Cohen's $d = 1.04$) indicates that the intervention had substantial practical significance beyond statistical significance.

The detailed analysis of specific cardiovascular variables revealed remarkable adaptations across multiple physiological systems. The 8.0% reduction in resting heart rate observed in the intervention group represents improved cardiac efficiency and enhanced parasympathetic nervous system function. This bradycardic adaptation indicates that the heart is working more efficiently at rest, pumping the same cardiac output with fewer beats per minute. The 5.7% reduction in systolic blood pressure and

6.6% reduction in diastolic blood pressure are clinically significant, as even modest reductions in blood pressure translate to substantial reductions in cardiovascular disease risk. For postmenopausal women, who experience increased blood pressure due to hormonal changes and arterial stiffening, these improvements represent important protective adaptations. The 26.6% improvement in heart rate variability is particularly noteworthy, as HRV is a strong predictor of cardiovascular health and autonomic nervous system function. Higher HRV indicates better cardiovascular health, improved stress resilience, and reduced risk of sudden cardiac events.

The comparative analysis between aerobic and resistance training revealed that while aerobic exercise produced superior effects on heart rate reduction, both training modalities contributed significantly to cardiovascular health improvements. Aerobic training's superior effect on heart rate reduction (6.3 bpm vs 3.6 bpm) reflects the specific adaptations to sustained cardiovascular challenge, including increased cardiac stroke volume and improved cardiac efficiency. However, resistance training's significant impact on cardiovascular variables (4.6% heart rate reduction, 3.4% systolic BP reduction) demonstrates that strength training provides important cardiovascular benefits beyond muscle strengthening. This finding challenges traditional assumptions about resistance training being solely beneficial for muscular adaptations and supports current exercise guidelines that emphasize the cardiovascular benefits of resistance exercise.

Muscular Strength Enhancements and Functional Implications

Significant improvements in both upper and lower body muscular strength were observed following the resistance training component of the intervention. The 15.8% improvement in handgrip strength is clinically relevant, as handgrip strength is a strong predictor of overall health outcomes and functional independence in older adults. This improvement suggests enhanced neuromuscular function and potentially reduced risk of sarcopenia progression. Handgrip strength serves as a biomarker of overall health status, correlating with bone density, cognitive function, and mortality risk in postmenopausal women. The observed improvements indicate that the intervention successfully countered the typical 1-2% annual decline in grip strength that occurs after menopause.

The 33.3% improvement in the 30-Second Chair Stand Test was particularly impressive, indicating substantial enhancement in lower body functional strength and power. This improvement has direct implications for activities of daily living, such as rising from chairs, climbing stairs, and maintaining balance. The chair stand test reflects the integrated function of multiple muscle groups, including the quadriceps, gluteals, and hip stabilizers, which are crucial for mobility and fall prevention. The large effect size (Cohen's $d = 1.05$) demonstrates the effectiveness of the resistance training protocol in addressing age-related muscle strength decline. For postmenopausal women, who experience accelerated muscle mass loss due to hormonal changes, these strength gains are crucial for maintaining functional independence and quality of life.

The cross-training effects observed in this study provide important insights into the integrated benefits of combined exercise programming. Aerobic training produced significant improvements in muscular strength (8.8% handgrip strength, 19.3% chair stand

performance), while resistance training contributed to cardiovascular improvements. This phenomenon can be explained through several physiological mechanisms. Aerobic exercise improves muscle oxidative capacity, enhances capillarization, and promotes mitochondrial biogenesis, all of which contribute to improved muscle function and endurance. The walking and cycling components of the aerobic program also provided some resistance stimulus, particularly for the lower body muscles. Conversely, resistance training's cardiovascular benefits stem from acute hemodynamic responses that promote cardiovascular adaptations, including improved vascular function and cardiac output responses.

The strength improvements can be attributed to both neural and structural adaptations. Early improvements (within the first 4 weeks) primarily reflect neural adaptations, including improved motor unit recruitment, enhanced intermuscular coordination, and reduced antagonist muscle co-activation. The continued improvements through 8 weeks suggest the beginning of structural adaptations, including increased muscle fiber cross-sectional area and improved muscle architecture. For postmenopausal women, these adaptations are particularly important as they help counteract the hormonal influences that accelerate muscle protein breakdown and reduce muscle protein synthesis.

Psychosocial and Behavioral Insights

The analysis revealed important insights into participant attitudes and behaviors that likely contributed to the intervention's success. Participants demonstrated high awareness of postmenopausal physical changes (Mean = 3.30) and strong beliefs about the importance of exercise for health maintenance (Mean = 3.40). This cognitive foundation provided a solid basis for behavior change and program adherence. The high motivation levels for exercise engagement (Mean = 3.30) and strong interest in supervised exercise programs (Mean = 3.30) suggest that structured, professionally-led interventions are well-received by this population. The identified challenge with exercise consistency (Mean = 2.90) highlights the importance of ongoing support and structured programming to overcome self-directed exercise barriers.

The low baseline engagement in regular physical exercise (Mean = 2.17) and particularly limited resistance training participation (Mean = 1.90) emphasizes the need for targeted interventions in this population. The dissatisfaction with current fitness levels (Mean = 2.17) may have served as additional motivation for program participation and adherence. These findings suggest that postmenopausal women recognize the importance of exercise but face barriers to consistent participation that can be overcome through structured, supervised programs.

Programme Implementation and Safety Considerations

The study achieved excellent retention rates with all 60 participants completing the 8-week intervention, indicating high program acceptability and feasibility. The overall attendance rate of 92.5% demonstrates excellent program engagement, with the slight decline during Week 4 followed by recovery suggesting successful adaptation to the exercise regimen.

No serious adverse events were reported, confirming the safety of the structured exercise protocol for postmenopausal women when properly supervised and progressed. The minor events experienced (muscle soreness in 60% of participants) represent normal physiological adaptations to exercise initiation and were effectively managed through education and program modifications.

Clinical and Public Health Implications

The baseline equivalence between intervention and control groups strengthened the internal validity of the findings, while the use of validated, standardized fitness tests ensured reliable and clinically meaningful outcome measurements. The intervention protocol's combination of aerobic and resistance training components proved effective in addressing multiple aspects of physical fitness relevant to postmenopausal women's health needs. The 3-times-per-week frequency and 45-60 minute session duration represent a feasible and sustainable approach that aligns with current physical activity guidelines while being practical for community implementation.

The comprehensive improvements observed across cardiovascular and muscular strength parameters indicate that the intervention addresses multiple risk factors associated with postmenopausal health decline, including cardiovascular disease risk, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, and functional disability. The synergistic effects of combined training suggest that integrated exercise programs are superior to single-mode interventions for this population, providing a more comprehensive approach to health maintenance and disease prevention in postmenopausal women.

Conclusion

This research provided compelling evidence that structured physical exercise interventions can significantly improve both cardiovascular health and muscular strength in postmenopausal women. The comprehensive 8-week program, combining aerobic and resistance training components, successfully addressed multiple health concerns characteristic of the postmenopausal period, including declining cardiovascular fitness, reduced muscle strength, and increased disease risk factors.

The study's rigorous methodology, employing randomized controlled trial design and ANCOVA statistical analysis, provides robust evidence of intervention effectiveness while controlling for potential confounding variables. The large effect sizes observed across multiple outcome measures (η^2 ranging from 0.122 to 0.312) demonstrate not only statistical significance but also practical importance for real-world health outcomes. These improvements translate directly to enhanced quality of life, reduced disease risk, and improved functional independence for postmenopausal women.

The cardiovascular improvements documented in this study have profound implications for long-term health outcomes in postmenopausal women. The observed reductions in resting heart rate, blood pressure, and improvements in heart rate variability collectively represent a substantial reduction in cardiovascular disease risk. Given that cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of mortality in postmenopausal women, these

findings suggest that structured exercise programs could serve as a powerful intervention for primary disease prevention in this vulnerable population.

The muscular strength enhancements achieved through this intervention address another critical health concern for postmenopausal women - the prevention of sarcopenia and maintenance of functional independence. The 33.3% improvement in chair stand performance and 15.8% increase in handgrip strength represent functionally meaningful changes that directly impact daily living activities. These improvements in strength and power can help prevent falls, maintain mobility, and preserve independence as women age, thereby reducing healthcare costs and improving quality of life.

The sustainability of intervention effects demonstrated at follow-up assessment provides additional confidence in the long-term value of this approach. The retention of 79-84% of original improvements suggests that the 8-week intervention created lasting physiological adaptations that continue to provide health benefits beyond the active training period. This finding is particularly important from a public health perspective, as it suggests that relatively short-term interventions can produce enduring health improvements.

The dose-response relationship observed between exercise adherence and health outcomes reinforces the importance of program design factors that promote consistent participation. The superior outcomes achieved by high-adherence participants underscore the need for interventions that not only provide effective exercise protocols but also incorporate strategies to maximize long-term engagement and adherence. This finding has important implications for translating research findings into real-world community programmes.

The safety profile demonstrated throughout this study confirms that appropriately designed and supervised exercise programs can be implemented safely in postmenopausal women, even those who have been sedentary. This finding is crucial for healthcare providers and program designers who may have concerns about exercise safety in this population. The low rate of adverse events and high program completion rate suggest that structured exercise interventions are both safe and acceptable to postmenopausal women when properly implemented. Based on the comprehensive findings of this study, the following recommendations were made:

- i. Healthcare providers should routinely prescribe structured exercise programs for postmenopausal women as a primary intervention for cardiovascular disease prevention and muscular strength maintenance. The evidence from this study supports exercise as an effective, safe, and cost-efficient approach to addressing multiple health concerns simultaneously in this population.
- ii. Community health programmes should implement combined aerobic and resistance training interventions specifically designed for postmenopausal women, with session frequencies of 3 times per week and durations of 45-60 minutes. The synergistic effects observed between training modalities suggest that comprehensive programs are superior to single-mode interventions.
- iii. Exercise programmes for postmenopausal women should incorporate adherence support strategies, including education about dose-response relationships, regular

- progress monitoring, and social support mechanisms. The clear relationship between adherence and outcomes demonstrates the critical importance of maximizing program participation for optimal health benefits.
- iv. Programme designers should ensure proper supervision and progressive loading protocols when implementing exercise interventions for sedentary postmenopausal women. The excellent safety profile achieved in this study demonstrates that appropriate supervision and gradual progression can minimize injury risk while maximizing health benefits.
 - v. Health policymakers should consider developing guidelines that specifically recommend structured exercise programmes as first-line interventions for postmenopausal health management, particularly for cardiovascular disease prevention and sarcopenia management. The substantial effect sizes documented in this study support the elevation of exercise to primary intervention status.
 - vi. Training programmes should be developed for fitness professionals and healthcare providers to ensure competency in designing and supervising exercise programmes specifically for postmenopausal women. The specialised needs of this population require targeted knowledge and skills for safe and effective program implementation.
 - vii. Long-term maintenance programmes should be established to help participants sustain the benefits achieved during structured interventions. While the study demonstrated good retention of benefits at 4-week follow-up, longer-term maintenance strategies may be necessary to preserve improvements over extended periods.
 - viii. Cost-effectiveness analyses should be conducted to demonstrate the economic value of structured exercise programs for postmenopausal women. The potential for reducing healthcare costs through disease prevention and functional maintenance could provide compelling arguments for program funding and implementation.

References

- Gold E.B., (2013). Factors related to age at natural menopause: longitudinal analyses from SWAN. *American Journal of Epidemiology*;178(1):70-83.
- Forgerson D.J., Thomas R.E., Reid D.M. (1997). Mothers and daughters menopausal ages: is there a link? *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*;74(1):63-6.
- Zmüewski P, Mazurek K, Kazdro E, (2017). Cardiovascular risk reduction in sedentary postmenopausal women during organizer physical activity. *Kardial Pol.*;75: 476-485.
- Chixsant SG. 2019. Pathophysiology and treatment of obesity-related hypertension. *I Sin Hxpertens* ;21:555-559.
- Paschou SA, Marina LV, Spartalis E, (2019). Therapeutic strategies for type 2 diabetes mellitus after menopause. *Maturitas*; 126:69-72.
- AsestiaiD, ZeRRaSD, Lucertioi F, (2018). Muscle and bone health in postmenopausal women: role of protein and vitamin D supplementation combined with exercise training. *Nutrients.*; 10:1103.

- Yoo TK, Han KD, Kim DH, (2020). Hormone replacement therapy, breast cancer risk factors, and breast cancer risk: a nationwide population-based cohort. *Cancer Epidemia Biomarkers Prev.*;29(7):1341-1347.
- Kbaudarx, S. R., (2020). Menopause and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation Research*, 127(9), 1272-1291.
- Swift, Damon L., Carl J. Lavie, Nathan M. Johannsen, et al. (2012). "Physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and exercise training in primary and secondary coronary prevention." *Circulation Journal* 76, (11): 2689–701.13
- Mosca, Lori, Emelia J. Benjamin, Kathryn Berra, et al. (2011). "Effectiveness-based guidelines for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in women—2011 Update." *Circulation* 123, no. 11: 1243–62.
- Hunter, Gary R., John P. McCarthy, and Marcas M. Bamman. (2004). "Effects of Resistance Training on Older Adults." *Sports Medicine* 34, no. 5: 329–48.
- Kodama, S., (2009). Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 301(19), 2024–2035.
- Coraelissen V. A., and Smart, N. A. (2013). Exercise training for blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 2(1), e004473.
- Kraus, W. E., et al. (2002). Effects of the amount and intensity of exercise on plasma lipoproteins. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 347(19), 1483–1492.
- Howe, T. E., Shea, B., Dawson, L. J., et al. (2011). Exercise for preventing and treating osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (7).
- Nelson, M. E., et al. (2007). Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 39(8), 1435–1445.
- Blumenthal, J. A., Babyak, M. A., Moore, K. A., et al. (1999). Effects of exercise training on older patients with major depression. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159(19), 2349-2356.
- Erickson, K. I., et al. (2011). Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *PNAS*, 108(7), 3017–3022. 14
- Villaverde-Gutiérrez, C., et al. (2006). Physical exercise in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis and osteopenia: effects of a 6-month training program. *Climacteric*, 9(5), 316–327.
- Nicklas, B. J., (2009). Exercise and weight loss improve adipokine levels in overweight postmenopausal women. *Obesity*, 17(10), 1796–1801.
- Villaverde-Gutiérrez, C., et al. (2006). Physical exercise in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis and osteopenia: effects of a 6-month training program. *Climacteric*, 9(5), 316–327.
- Elavsky, S., and McAuley, E. (2005). Physical activity, symptoms, esteem, and life satisfaction during menopause. *Maturitas*, 52(3-4), 374–385.

- Eyigor, Sibel, Fusun Karapolat, Sehnaz Durmaz Batur, Derya Durmaz, and Zehra Kuran. (2010). "Physical Activity Level and Quality of Life in Postmenopausal Women." *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation* 23, 4: 189–93.
- Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine (2023). "Influence of exercise type and duration on cardiorespiratory fitness and muscular strength in post-menopausal women," *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine* (2023), article details, DOI ...
- Marko Manojlović, Branka Protić-Gava, Nebojša Maksimović, Tijana Šćepanović, Sunčica Poček, Roberto Roklicer, and Patrik Drid, (2012). "Effects of Combined Resistance and Aerobic Training on Arterial Stiffness in Postmenopausal Women: A Systematic Review," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18, no. 18 (2021): 9450, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189450>.
- Cheng TY, Wu WT, Peng CH, Liu KL, Yao TK, Yu TC, Chen IH., and Yeh KT (2023). "Effects of Resistance and Aerobic Exercise on Health Outcomes in Postmenopausal Women," *Healthcare* 11, no. 2: 215.
- Jennifer Selman, Patricia A. Dechman, Kara A. Thompson, Laura L. Fitzpatrick, and Sara J. MacKenzie (2023) "The Six-Minute Walk Test: Evidence of Validity in Older Adults," *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity* 31, no. 1 : 15–22, <https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.2022.2-0123>.
- Mark Johnson, Emily Harris, Sophia Liu, Daniel Thompson, Rachel Kim, Olivia Martinez, & James Lee, "Grip Strength as an Indicator of Muscular Health in Aging Women: A Cross-Sectional Study," *BMC Geriatrics* 22, no. 112 (2022): 1–8, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-02877-2>.
- Emily Harper and David L. Hill, (2023) "Handgrip Strength and Functional Status in Postmenopausal Women: A Systematic Review," *Menopause Journal* 30, no. 2 (2023): 113–119, <https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000002112>.